



Brent

SCHOOLS FORUM

Queen's Park Community School

Wednesday 15th June 2016 18:00 – 20:00

(Refreshments from 17:30)

AGENDA

NO.	ITEM.	OFFICER	TIME
	Introductions (if appropriate)		
	Apologies for Absence and Membership		18.00
1	Declarations of Interests		18:05
2	Minutes of Previous Meeting – 24 February 2016		18:10
3	Action Log and Matters Arising		18.15
4	Election of Vice Chair	Devbai Patel	18:20
5	Update on Early Years Funding – 30 Hours	Sue Gates	18:25
6	Dedicated Schools Grant – Outturn 2015-16 and Budget 2016-17	Phil Herd	18:45
7	Updated Scheme for Financing Schools & Schools Financial Regulations	Norwena Thomas	19:00
8	Review of Criteria for Split-Site Factor, Falling Rolls and Growth Fund	Norwena Thomas	19:10
9	Schools Forum Administration Review	Norwena Thomas	19:20
10	AOB		

Dates of 2016-17 Forums

DATE

VENUE

Wednesday 21st September 2016

The Village School

Wednesday 19th October 2016

Queens Park Community School

Wednesday 7th December 2016

The Village School

Wednesday 8th February 2017

Queens Park Community School

BRENT SCHOOLS FORUM

**Minutes of the Schools Forum held on
Wednesday 24 February 2016 at The Village School**

Attended by Members of the Forum:**Governors:**

Mike Heiser (MH)
Titilola McDowell (TM)
Herman Martyn (HM)
Narinder Nathan (NN)
Umesh Raichada (UR)
Christine Starkl (CS)

Head Teachers:

Lesley Benson (LB)
Martine Clark (MC)
Kay Charles (KC)
Rabbi Yitzchak Freeman (YF)
Melissa Loosemore (ML)
Andy Prindiville (AP)
Troy Sharpe (TS)

PRU:

Terry Hoad (TH)

PVI Sector:

Paul Russell (PR)

Trade Unions:

Lesley Gouldbourne (LG)

14-19 Partnership:**Lead Member (C&YP):****Officers:**

Cate Duffy (CD)
Norwena Thomas (NT)
Devbai Patel (DP)

Others (BSP):

Farzana Aldridge (FA) for item 4

ITEM DISCUSSION

i. Introductions

The Forum commenced at 6.10pm.

MH asked FA to introduce herself. FA attended for Item 4 to present the paper as a Strategic Director of Brent Schools Partnership.

ii. Apologies

Rose Ashton
Martin Beard
Sylvie Libson
Cllr Ruth Moher
Gail Tolley

iii. Absences

Helga Gladbaum
Sue Knowler
Desi Lodge Patch

iv. Membership

This item was covered under Item 7 of this meeting.

1 Declarations of Interests

- 1.1 MH asked members if they had any interests to declare. MH and KC declared their interest for Item 4 as MH is a member of the BSP Board and KC is the Chair of BSP Board.

2 Minutes of the meeting held on 2 December 2015 and Matters Arising

- 2.1 NN was not present at the last Forum.
- 2.2 The above was noted. There were no other corrections to the minutes therefore they were approved as an accurate record.

3.0 Action Log and Matters Arising

- 3.1 De-Delegation Items – DP reported that the schools were invoiced for de-delegation items.
- 3.2 Minutes paragraph 5.4 – CD reported that a meeting took place with an officer from Revenue and Benefits and are waiting for a further update. There is no evidence at the moment that the effect is due to

the Benefits cap but discussions will continue. There is a housing issue which is an issue for the council but not on school places.

3.3 AP asked if the Financial Regulations are approved and available to schools. NT replied that they were posted on Schools Extranet mid December 2015, soon after the last Forum. It was requested that they were also put into Head Teachers Bulletin. It was confirmed that the Financial Regulations only apply to maintained schools.

3.4 LB asked when the Head of Audit was to meet with the schools. CD said that the Head of Audit will attend the next Governors and Head Teachers briefing.

4.0 Review of Funding Allocated to Brent Schools Partnership (BSP)

[This report was for update and decision](#)

4.1 FA presented the first part of the report followed by ML. In 2014-15 Schools Forum allocated £100k to support KS1 & KS2 alternative education provision. Since then there has been no further allocation to BSP.

4.2 Annexe A provided details of the options put forward to BSP. Option 1 was for the BSP to receive £300k and take the full responsibility for the excluded primary pupils. Option 2 was to receive £100k and provide support to pupils at risk of exclusion. BSP opted for Option 2.

4.3 Paragraph 1.5 provided the details of the funding agreed to support the development costs and where placements were made, the agreed placement costs.

4.4 The way funding was utilised was set out in Paragraph 4.2. All schools were asked to complete a Behaviour and Safety survey in September 2014. This was to identify the common issues for children at risk and what schools would like to have in place to support them with children at risk of exclusion. Based on the outcome of the survey the feedback was for BSP to put a strategy in place. They identified 4 schools which would be able to help support children. There were a number of challenges in terms of progressing and was therefore not able progress as much as they would have liked i.e. a consultant was contracted but didn't want to continue.

4.5 ML went through the recommendations. The funding was for KS1 and KS2 but KS1 has not happened. They wish to progress with KS1 because there is a danger of children being excluded. Clearly schools are asking for this to happen soon.

4.6 FA said they are not suggesting a complex highly expensive consultant. MH said that Schools Forum is not in a position to commission as it doesn't have a budget. It has to be referred to LA.

- 4.7 LB commented on the first two recommendations which are asking Forum for plans. She didn't think they were in the format that could be agreed by the Forum. FA said this was not the intention. UR suggested bringing back the paper to June's Forum. KC said the report is for noting, comments and for consideration.
- 4.8 LB said the increasing need for alternative provision at Ks1 is worrying and something concrete needs to be in place.
- 4.9 LG said it would be more helpful to have more costings attached i.e. how many schools, cost of survey. She said it was a great shame that resources were not available. Standard report to scrutiny indicated that BSP would deliver CPD offer and she asked how this would be managed. FA said in terms of CPD offer, there would need to have skills and expertise to deal with challenges of pupils. There is an increasing demand at Roe Green Junior School provision for bespoke and school to school support. Four schools would receive children and would require skills and capacity to receive children. LG said that the paper says BSP will deliver CPD. KC said CPD is different, BSP members pay subscription. LG asked how much is spent on children. KC replied that none of the funding has gone into CPD. BSP is working closely with LA to have an inclusion service to work together to get the best outcome.
- 4.10 CD confirmed that £300k is allocated per annum from DSG. In 2014-15, £100k was paid to BSP and £200k was for the LA to buy primary alternative education provisions. The £200k allocated to the council has been used to support children excluded in KS1 and KS2. These have mainly been placed by the Council in a school in Islington. The children are having to travel to Islington which is difficult and challenging for parents and carers as they are asked to attend too. TS asked if any of the funding was used on transport and CD confirmed that it does. TS said it would be useful to know how much of it relates to transport. CD said this is always an issue when children are sent far. LB asked if the funding is used for already excluded children or at risk to which CD replied as for both. There was a need to carry out a feasibility study to establish how much it would cost and where would the funding come from to bring these children in borough.
- 4.11 CD stated that we need to keep children in Brent. We need to move beyond where we are using the BSP funding to build capacity towards actually delivering placements in Brent schools. The £100k released to date has just placed those schools in a position to receive pupils. In 2015-16 funding has not been released to BSP because we need to be clear on what it is to be used for.

4.12 MS asked if a decision was required. CD said it requires a conversation between officers and BSP. We only need to come back if the funding needs to be increased.

4.13 Recommendation 1-4 were noted by all. With regards to recommendation C, a working group should be set up and CD would be happy to chair.

5.0 Schools Funding 2016-17

[This report was for update.](#)

5.1 NT presented this report. Section 3.0 of the report provided details of Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) allocation to local authorities. Brent's provisional allocation is £302.01m before academy recoupment. Section 4.0 provides details of the ISB, Schools Block of funding, which is allocated based on the DfE's funding formula. There was a massive change to IDACI which Brent uses as a main indicator for deprivation factor funding. Various models were created but they all provided a very similar output. The final budgets have been approved by DfE with small changes and they will be released to schools by Monday 29th February the latest. With regards to High Needs budget there is no change in the method for calculations. Early Years will be impacted by IDACI in the same way the schools have been affected. There is no change to any of the grants, except that the Summer Schools Pupil Premium will discontinue from 2016-17.

5.2 MH asked if schools have seen the budgets to realise the impact on IDACI. NT said that the same paper that was emailed to members in January was posted on the Schools Extranet. AP asked if the Pupil Premium was included in the budgets and if the SEN payment to academies is made by the LA. NT confirmed that PPG is not included in the budgets and yes that SEN payments are made by the LA as top-up funding.

5.3 LB said that January Forum should not have been cancelled because what was circulated in email could have been discussed at a Forum. Mike did want to keep it in the diary. This was noted.

5.4 PR expressed his concerns over the Early Years allocations which are announced late and with IDACI being an issue, it could mean some of the private providers having to close down. They need to plan in advance where this is to be the case. NT said that officers have been exploring other options to provide data earlier. We emailed finance contacts in other London authorities and most use IDACI. None of the authorities that responded use IMD which is one of the indicator used in the past and suggested at Early Years Sub Group.

5.5 LB warned that we look at the indicator change to IMD or implement Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) and capping factor. Paul is

correct in that it is time to react to this. CD said that officers are worried and do not want provisions to close so will try their best to prevent providers having to close.

5.6 MH reminded members of the process of funding for 2016/17. In January members were informed that IDACI wasn't doing what it should be doing and nor was FSM. CD said the next paper was about the changes with the funding formula coming in effect from 2017-18 and said that the Schools Forum members will need to be heavily involved.

5.7 All five recommendations were noted by the Schools Forum.

6.0 Schools Funding 2017-18 and Beyond

[This report was for update.](#)

6.1 NT presented this report. The report provided an update on the expected implementation of a new fair funding formula for schools from 2017 and the likely impact on Brent schools. There have been lots of speculation and lobbying for a national funding formula to be implemented. The DfE is intending to send out two consultations one on schools and high needs and the other one on early years. This is expected by the end of February and is expected to run for a few months due to purdah in May for the Mayoral elections. NT recommended that the Schools Forum provide a response to these consultations.

6.2 In 2013-14 there were a number of changes to the funding and these are listed in the report. In 2015-16, the Government also allocated £390m for the least fairly funded authorities, of which Brent received a share of £11m. There is expected to be a transition with the phasing in of losses and gains over time – there is speculation that London could be worst hit. NT said that we are advising that schools budget to lose 1.5% per pupil, year on year, on their pupil-led funding.

6.3 LG said that NUT will be campaigning to say no to National Fair Funding. AP said that he felt schools should not just accept it and that a lot of noise should be made if there are huge losses expected.

6.4 MH said that London Councils has carried out a lot of work so far for the figures used for minimum funding levels. What is consulted upon and how definitive it might be in principle and in figures will not be known until the consultations are out. CD said we will have to respond in a number of ways but once we see what the proposals are, it will give us some guidance in modelling what the impact is going to be. There will be a number of group discussions required. It was acknowledged that Paragraph 4.3.1 listed key points which were very helpful. KC said it was very useful report and was pleased to have received it in advance.

6.5 LB said that hopefully 0-25 SEN will finally be addressed to which KC commented that it means less funding. LB agreed that the paper was clear and helpful. She asked if the Budget template is built to allow the funding drop. NT confirmed that the template automatically reduces budget share by 1.5% but can be overtyped as some schools may have increased funding due to expansion, it assumes other income as cashflat, payroll assumes increments, inflation and employer contribution increases, and inflation for other expenditure is assumed as 4%.

6.6 Recommendation was noted and there was a full commitment from members to respond to the consultations. KC said that the more we respond the better outcome can be achieved.

6.7 Once the timescale is issued officers will facilitate meetings with all Head Teachers and Governors and call for a Schools Forum if required. It would be useful to respond as the response goes directly to DfE.

7.0 **Schools Forum Membership** [This report was for consultation](#)

7.1 NT presented this report. As previously agreed, the membership would be reviewed once a year. Due to change in landscape in Brent, the Academy conversions at St Andrew and St Francis, St Gregory's High, Oakington Manor and Furness Schools and two additional free schools, there are a number of vacancies. Since writing this report, Gill Bal has resigned. Therefore there are 1 x primary governor, 1 x maintained primary Head Teacher, 2 x secondary Academy Head Teachers and 1 x maintained secondary Head Teacher vacancies. The vacancy continues to remain for Early Years PVI and 14-19 Partnership due to long standing absence.

7.2 A further paper on Administration of Schools Forum will be brought in June which will review the sub-groups. It was suggested that Schools Forum members provide their email address for schools to be able to contact them. This doesn't have to be private but the relevant school email address.

8. **Dates of 2016-17 Schools Forum**

8.1 DP said that the meetings were listed on the back of the agenda but there is a change with the October Forum. The date proposed is in half term and a week before is not convenient due to Sukkot. YF said that because only HM and him are affected by this, they were happy for the Forum to go ahead. A date in November was suggested but if the returns are required in line with the current timeline, end of October, the Forum needed to be held before the end of October. DP

asked following a discussion earlier in paragraph 5.3 above, when it would be appropriate for January Forum date to be set. MH said as the February date is brought forward, it would not be necessary to hold a January Forum. The dates confirmed were:

Wednesday 15th June 2016 - Queens Park Community School

Wednesday 21st September 2016 – The Village School

Wednesday 19th October 2016 – Queens Park Community School

Wednesday 7th December 2016 – The Village School

Wednesday 8th February 2017 – Queens Park Community School

9.0 AOB

- 9.1 The Forum showed appreciation to SL. Some members wanted to know if a suitable position could be created for her to remain a Schools Forum member. MH explained that the schools change and members have to change their hats with the change. At this moment of time SL was not eligible for any vacant positions. NT reported that the feedback officers have had was that SL was happy to step down due to additional school she is looking after, making a total of three primary schools to manage.

The Forum ended at 7.45pm

ACTION LOG

<i>Item No</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Due</i>	<i>Owner</i>
---------------------------	----------------------	-------------------	---------------------

NONE

DRAFT



Brent

SCHOOLS FORUM

15 June 2016

Report from the Strategic Director of Children and Young People

For Information

5: Update on Early Years Funding – 30 Hours

1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 From September 2017, all 3 and 4 year olds from working families will have a statutory entitlement to 30 hours of free childcare and early education. Implementation and subsequent administration of this entitlement will sit with the local authority as is the case with the existing free early education entitlements for 2, 3 and 4 year olds.
- 1.2 In order to prepare for the implementation of this policy, a consultation was carried out across the borough with childcare providers to identify opportunities, challenges and barriers to delivery. Consultation has been undertaken with parents to ascertain their views and preferences on models of delivery. These findings will be used to inform the local authority implementation plan.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 The Schools Forum is requested to note the contents of this paper as initial information. The Local Authority will update this as more information is received from the Department for Education and all consultation completed. An initial implementation plan will be developed in order to ensure timely implementation by September 2017.

3.0 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The Childcare Act 2016 extends statutory duties on local authorities to secure free childcare for qualifying children. Specifically, section 2 creates a duty on English local authorities to secure the equivalent of 30 hours of free childcare over 38 weeks of the year for qualifying children.

3.2 On securing sufficient childcare, key statutory obligations are:

- Local authorities are obliged to secure funded places offering 570 hours a year over no fewer than 38 weeks of the year for every eligible child aged 2 years in their area from the relevant date; and every child aged 3 and 4 years in their area from the relevant date (the universal entitlement).

This is currently offered and if delivered over the minimum 38 weeks equates to 15 hours per week.

- Local authorities are obliged to secure an additional 570 funded hours a year over no fewer than 38 weeks of the year for qualifying children aged 3 and 4 years in their area from the relevant date set from September 2017. Eligibility for the additional funded hours will be determined by HMRC (the extended entitlement).

This is the new entitlement for eligible children and if delivered over the minimum 38 weeks equates to 30 hours per week.

3.3 The additional 15 hours of free early education and childcare that will become available from September 2017 is for families where both parents are working (or the sole parent is working in a lone-parent family), and each parent earns the equivalent of 16 hours a week (currently £107 per week) at the national minimum or living wage, and earns less than £100,000 a year¹. A family with an annual household income of £199,998 would be eligible if each parent earns just under £100,000.

3.4 In addition:

- Self-employed parents and parents on zero-hours contracts will be eligible if they meet the average earnings threshold.
- Both parents are employed but one (or both parents) is temporarily away from the workplace on parental, maternity or paternity leave; and/or adoption leave; and/or temporarily away from work on statutory sick pay will also be eligible.
- Households where one parent is in receipt of benefits relating to caring responsibilities or has a disability and the other parent is working will also be eligible.

3.5 Alongside this, parents in England are to be given the right to request schools to provide childcare provision for school age children for the full working day during term time and in the holidays and childcare providers' the right to request to use school facilities for wraparound and / or holiday provision at times when the school is not using them. The results of a consultation on this by the DfE about how this might work closed on 29 February 2016 and its implications in terms of making early years provision available are not yet known.

¹ <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/thousands-of-parents-benefit-from-30-hours-free-early-years-early>, 2 February 2016

- 3.6 The Government has announced that the *national* average rate paid to early years providers for delivering government-funded early years from 2017 will be £4.88 for 3- and 4-year-olds, including the Early Years Pupil Premium (EYPP). For 2-year-olds, the national average rate will be £5.39. As these rates are only national averages, providers may find that the actual rate in their area will be higher or lower than these. A new national funding formula will be introduced in 2017/18 and consultation is expected to start on this soon.²

4.0 DETAIL

- 4.1 In preparation for the roll-out of the extended entitlement, the Local Authority has assessed: (a) likely demand for additional childcare; (b) reviewed the draft statutory guidance (released April 2016); (c) undertaken detailed consultation with early years providers to confirm their plans for expansion and what they need to help with any challenges to effective expansion; and (d) consulted parents in parents focus groups through April 2016.

The consultation continues throughout May with further parent groups and consultation with chairs of governors of nursery schools and schools with nursery classes.

- 4.2 The consultation with early years providers comprised:

- 78 face to face meetings involving Early Years representatives and PVI settings, childminders and school head teachers. This included 62 PVI settings (more than 2 in 3 of all PVI settings), 13 childminders and 3 schools.
- A survey with early years providers completed by 25 PVI settings, 14 childminders, 10 schools with nursery provision, 1 children's centre with nursery provision;
- Feedback provided as part of business support workshops for childcare providers in the borough; and
- Broad consultation through the PVI Forum and Early Years Funding Sub-Group.

All settings across Brent were invited to take part in all aspects of consultation.

- 4.3 The consultation with parents will comprise a series of focus groups with parents in children's centres and schools, this part of the consultation continues throughout May.

² <https://www.pacey.org.uk/news-and-views/news/archive/2015-news/december-2015/faqs-funding-to-early-years-providers-offering-30-h/>

5.0 KEY FINDINGS

5.1 Likely demand:

- Analysis points to approximately 1870 new places being required³ (based on Brent's Childcare Sufficiency Assessment (CSA) 2016). This found 70% of dual parent households where both parents work and households where lone parents work currently take up an average of 24 hours registered childcare each week and the remaining 30% take up the universal entitlement of 15 hours free early education a week.

This is similar to the level estimated for the London borough of Newham which anticipates 1800 new places being required. Newham is being used as a comparator as they are the only London Early Implementer . They also have similar numbers, and challenges to Brent.

- In Brent the gap between current take up and full take up of the new entitlement is 1876 x 30 hour places.
- From the consultations to date and information gathered whilst preparing the 2016 CSA it is apparent that there is a minimum 498 new places that are already planned by early years providers .
- There are 204 places that are being made available on a 30 hour basis at present in some nursery schools and schools with nursery classes for some children eligible for FSM. There is no statutory entitlement to these additional hours. Going forward to address the new statutory entitlement for qualifying children these places may need to change to address the new criteria.
- Additionally the average level of occupancy is 72% across PVI settings and anecdotally some school nurseries have not filled all their places this year.

This points to considerable scope for making more places available. On the basis of providers moving to an average of 82% through 30 hour provision, this would provide a further 821 places. This would also reduce unit costs of delivery for providers.

- This suggests that 313 places need to be developed. With every additional percentage point of increased occupancy a further 86 places will be created. This could be a possible area to focus any capital funding on should the local authority be successful in the bid for capital funding later this year.

³ This is based on Brent Revenues and Benefits data which points to total number of households where both parents are in some employment and the difference between total lone parents and lone parents qualifying for an out of work benefit.

6.0 FURTHER STATUTORY GUIDANCE

6.1 In addition to the detail about eligibility set out in Section 1:

- 6.1.1 Local authorities are expected to work with providers to ensure that parents are not charged for any part of their child's funded place. This includes providers charging top-up fees.
- 6.1.2 While previously only 'good' and 'outstanding' settings could qualify for funding eligible 2 year-old places, to secure sufficiency across all age groups, funding can be made available to settings which rate 'requires improvement' or 'satisfactory'. This is true for funded 2 year-old places and all places for children aged 3 and 4.
- 6.1.3 The DfE have set out key standards for flexible delivery of childcare provision in the existing statutory guidance and are currently consulting on the possible standards set out below:
 - No session to be longer than 10 hours
 - No session to be shorter than 3 hours between 9.00am and 3.30pm. Providers and parents need to be aware that no minimum session length exists outside these hours.
 - Not before 6.00am or after 8.00pm
 - A child should only have access to funded places at a maximum of three providers.
 - The 30 hours x 38 weeks can be stretched to meet the needs of families so can be over more than 38 weeks, on weekends, and outside school terms.
- 6.1.4 There are also proposals for providers being paid monthly, updated provider agreements and the continued requirements to use a locally determined early years single funding formula.
- 6.1.5 All statutory guidance about requirements for local authorities to provide an information and advice service for families about childcare and related provision (s.13 Childcare Act 2006) remain unchanged.
- 6.1.6 In the consultation document, 'Childcare free entitlement: delivery model', the Government states that it would be allocating £50 million capital funding to support the creation of more early years places. The capital programme is accessible on a competitive basis. The Local Authority has submitted an expression of interest for this funding stream.
- 6.1.7 As set out at 4, parents in England are also to be given the right to request schools provide wraparound / holiday childcare provision for school age children for the full working day during term time and in the holidays. While the detail of how this might work are not yet set out, this is likely to increase pressure on schools to make available childcare directly or through partnerships with other early years settings operating from their premises in school holidays.

7.0 SOME IMPLICATIONS FOR THE LOCAL AUTHORITY

- 7.1 The Local Authority has an important role in ensuring through the regular Providers Forum, in regular email updates and in 1:1 interaction with early years providers that they remain up to date about requirements relevant to the extended entitlement and the progress in securing expanded places.
- 7.2 A new provider agreement will need to be prepared. This provides an opportunity to set out expectations in relation to funding, timing of payments and reporting.
- 7.3 Notwithstanding the shared commitment to increasing the quality of provision with more than 85% of all settings graded at least 'good' or 'outstanding', there is now the ability to secure funded places in settings with 'requires improvement' and 'satisfactory' inspection grades across all funded childcare provision.
- 7.4 Significant effort to help in expanding the supply of places through increased flexibility of provision that can better meet the needs of working families e.g. access to a stretched entitlement taken up during holiday periods and access to provision throughout a longer day. It may be that the application to DfE for capital was successful it could support the above objective. It may also be that schools can be encouraged to work more collaboratively with other local early years settings to deliver on this key objective.

8.0 INITIAL CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

- 8.1 PVI settings and children's centres with attached nursery.
(The percentages quoted below indicate percentage of respondents rather than percentage of the sector):
 - 8.1.1 55% indicate they want to make available provision for the extended entitlement and 15% are not sure if they can or will. PVI settings in Harlesden and Wembley were more likely to be positive about expansion (which is encouraging as these localities also have the fastest population growth rates for children aged 0-4 years), whilst PVI settings in Willesden were more likely to say they were not interested in developing further capacity. Kingsbury and Kilburn localities were more evenly balanced between saying they were interested and not interested.
 - 8.1.2 20% have an interest in partnering with other early years providers and this largely relates to participating in common waiting lists and networking with other early years providers.
 - 8.1.3 The main concern of PVI settings is what the funding rate will be. 50% of all providers that offer 15 hour places report that their viability is based on parents paying for additional hours at a rate which is higher than the funded entitlement and so subsidises the funded place. As

one provider notes⁴:*'If we were to offer the 30 hours of funding we would not be able to charge fees for any additional hours as we are only open for 30 hours a week. It is the additional fees for these extra hours that help us to sustain quality in the setting...We would have to make half of our qualified staff redundant and employ unqualified staff on minimum wage, make our SEN support redundant and increase the size of current key groups. If the 30 hours was funded to cover the nursery fees we would be very happy to provide this offer to parents'.*

8.1.4 Approximately 1 in 4 PVI settings indicate an interest in marketing support and 3 in 20 PVI settings indicate an interest in business support.

8.1.5 1 in 5 PVI settings indicate they are restricted by 'space' in making available any additional provision. This was especially true of playgroups. Half of these (i.e. 1 in 10) PVI settings report that they would like to increase supply of places but this is dependent on some access to capital funding. These settings are also in areas of main growth of families with children aged 0-4 years in Brent (Wembley and Harlesden).

8.2 School with attached nursery and nursery schools:

8.2.1 60% of schools indicate an interest in making 30-hour provision available.

8.2.2 Of the three schools responding to the consultation, they're all open to making 30-hour provision available, although this will almost all come from existing spaces rather than new spaces. A major concern for many schools is the impact of this on existing 15 hour places as the majority of schools are only offering part-time provision currently.

8.2.3 At least one nursery school which operates 48 weeks per year and another school with attached nursery expressed concerns about how children eligible for FSM that have access already to 30 hour provision will be affected.

8.2.4 The primary interest is the rates that will be paid. Other areas of interest are the relevant rules e.g. how is eligibility of families determined? Is this only for families living within a school catchment area? Will schools be paid a lump sum for catchment area? How will parents apply for nursery places?

8.2.5 There is limited interest by schools in partnering with other childcare providers, sharing resources and/or participating in common waiting lists.

⁴ One sentence has been removed to ensure anonymity for the provider.

8.3 Childminders:

- 8.3.1 Between 50-60% of childminders indicate a willingness to make spaces available for the extended entitlement. 1 in 5 childminders want to increase the supply of places but this is dependent on some access to capital funding.
- 8.3.2 1 in 4 childminders also indicate a willingness to collaborate with other early years providers.
- 8.3.3 Approximately 1 in 3 childminders indicate an interest in business support.
- 8.3.4 A key concern for all childminders is what the funding rate will be. Most childminders for example that indicate that they are not interested in providing the extended entitlement say that they cannot afford to do so. This suggests that if the funding rate was sufficient that more childminders would be open to making available extended provision.
- 8.3.5 Other key concerns of childminders relate to how stretched provision can be accessed by families during school holiday periods and whether childminders are obliged to accept children requiring 30 hours funded provision. They have also wanted further information on how charging for additional hours beyond the free hours would work.

9.0 PARENT CONSULTATION

- 9.1 100 families were involved in the consultation exercise which took place in April and May 2016. Parent focus groups took place at schools and children's centres across the borough with a mixture of working and non-working parents:
 - 9.1.1 Almost all parents have responded positively to the offer of 30 hours and would take it up if eligible.
 - 9.1.2 Parents have generally wanted the childcare to be delivered by one provider on one site but have appreciated that this may not always be possible. They have been willing to consider the option of multiple providers delivering from one site or sites in close proximity to each other working together in partnership (for example a private provider running services on a school site or children being picked up by a childminder from their PVI or school nursery place). A few parents have seen the use of multiple providers as a benefit, either because of their working patterns or because they believe that it will help their child become more sociable.
 - 9.1.3 Parents have generally wanted to access their entitlement in a stretched way (ie reducing the number of hours per week across more than 38 weeks per year) .

10.0 FEEDBACK FROM BUSINESS SUPPORT WORKSHOPS

- 10.1 Six business support workshops, two for schools, two for nurseries and two for childminders were commissioned to support childcare providers in the borough with their considerations and preparation for delivery of the entitlement.
- 10.2 The aim was to ensure providers were aware that while delivery of the entitlement was not compulsory, it was important to have a full understanding of the implications for their provision of the entitlement and to be able to make an informed choice on whether to offer 30 hour places or not.
- 10.3 56 providers in total (11 schools, 21 nurseries and 24 childminders) attended these sessions. Initial feedback, including perceived challenges and potential benefits, are attached as Appendix 1.

11.0 WHAT WE ARE DOING NOW OR IMMINENTLY IN RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION OUTCOMES

- 11.1 Given the implications reported by early years settings in relation to building restrictions and how business models are impacted by increasing numbers of children taking up funded places:
 - 11.1.1 The Local Authority is applying for access to the DfE capital programme that may offer assistance (on a competitive proposal basis) and can specifically be targeted to increasing the supply of 30 hour places.
 - 11.1.2 The Local Authority has delivered the first round of business support training sessions to provide free advice and guidance to settings to encourage more cost effective business models and partnerships with other early years settings. There will be a further round later in the year.
 - 11.1.3 The Local Authority will provide regular updates on DfE guidance, addressing any frequently asked questions (e.g. rates, charging, processes for confirming eligibility, payment schedules etc.) and progress on delivery of local plans to expand supply to meet requirements for funded 30 hour places. These will include email updates and through the Providers Forum and Early Years Funding Group.
 - 11.1.4 The Local Authority will pilot a 'flexible childcare network' which would bring together a school with nursery provision, PVI setting and some local childminders. They would share business support, shared management of vacancies/ waiting lists and other sharing of resources to maximise use of all existing places.
 - 11.1.5 For staff working in early years settings that cannot expand due to restrictions on the use of premises, the Local Authority will target any incentives available, through future funding from DfE, to offer wrap-

around care to children accessing the early years setting e.g. meet the cost of registering as a childminder, providing access to professional development etc.

12.0 FEEDBACK FROM THE EARLY YEARS FUNDING SUB-GROUP

12.1 This report and the findings from the consultations with parents and providers and the feedback from the business support workshops in more detail were presented to the Early Years Funding Sub-group on 11 May 2016. The following were key points made by the group:

12.1.1 Members of the group stressed the importance of the funding rate being high enough to allow providers to offer 30 hours sustainably. A discussion took place about the consultation around the early years funding formula which would take place later this year and the current consultation on the statutory guidance on the free entitlement. Members of the group were encouraged to respond to both consultations.

12.1.2 The nursery school representative raised concerns about the future of current full-time nursery places being offered to eligible children in schools as Section 5.1 in this report includes these as potentially being converted to 30 hour places at a later stage. She advised that this proposal would be met with resistance when put forward in the future.

12.1.3 The PVI reps felt at a business disadvantage as a result of nursery schools being able to offer fulltime places when they can only offer 15 hour places. Discussion followed around benefits of fulltime attendance and the inequity across Brent for children and families. All agreed if fulltime could be offered to all who want it this would be acceptable, this is however not affordable. EPPE research (<http://eppe.ioe.ac.uk/eppe/eppepdfs/RBTec1223sept0412.pdf>) includes in the key findings that 'Full time attendance led to no better gains for children than part time provision.'

12.1.4 Brent's Head of Finance for the Children & Young People Department said that the additional hours for the places currently available (204) cost approximately £400k. This has now been calculated using the average hourly rate as approximately £500k.

12.1.5 Members of the group also requested from the LA any demographic, locality profiles and census data that were available on individual areas to help providers assess demand for the 30 hours in their areas. The LA have agreed to send links to available data, but providers were also encouraged to speak to parents to identify local needs.

13.0 STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

13.1 As administration of this entitlement sits with the LA, there will be staffing implications. It will be key to use existing staffing capacity effectively and for strong systems and processes to be in place for streamlined administration.

14.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

14.1 As the funding rate has not yet been determined, the full financial implications of this are not yet clear, however there will be some financial impact as we have to ensure that administration of all the free entitlements are adequately resourced in terms of staff.

14.2 It is expected that funding at the finally agreed rate will be provided to Brent from the DFE initially with an estimated amount and a second adjustment payment if take up is greater than expected. Then it will be funded in response to the census information provided.

15.0 APPENDICES

1. Business Support Workshops – April 2016

CONTACT OFFICERS

Sue Gates, Head of Early Help

0208 937 2710

Kevin Jones, Interim Operational Director, Integration and Improved Outcomes

0208 937 4249

APPENDIX 1:

BUSINESS SUPPORT WORKSHOPS – APRIL 2016

1) Questions

Funding and business planning

- Differences between fee paying and funded places
- Sustainability - Will overheads be covered?
- What is the rate of funding?
- Is there funding/capital available?
- How do academies fit in?
- What is the cost to schools?

Marketing / entitlement

- Who is entitled?
- If settings choose not to offer will parents go elsewhere?
- Impact on occupancy
- How will providers attract families – what advertising is needed and how do we manage competition
- How will parents be informed of their eligibility?

Take up

- Will parents want more than 30 hours?
- Must parents take up the full 30 hours?

Implications for other children

- What are the implications for NEG 2 delivery?
- What happens to FSM children currently being allocated 30 hour places?
- How will Nursery admissions criteria for schools be affected?

What are the expectations around delivery?

- How will childminders plan activities to fit with what schools /other providers may be covering?
- Is it doable?
- Will it be mandatory for all providers – can we opt in/out?
- What delivery models are possible?
- What support is available from Brent?
- Can we opt out – leave if not delivered
- Will the offer change?

2) Current concerns

- Not sustainable
- Longer hours for children
- Impact on occupancy if not delivering and parents go elsewhere

3) Pros

- More effective offer for families – better support for children through longer contact hours
- Extra capacity to be filled
- An opportunity to try a different approach
- Easier to manage take up
- Fewer children – less administration
- Financial benefit

4) Planned actions by providers from individual feedback forms:

- I am going to write a plan of "where we want to be" as a setting in the next 3mts/6mts/9mts.... up to September 2017.
- I am going to present an outline plan for introduction of 30 hour per week Nursery Provision to governors.
- Arrange meetings with my local schools and bursar in order to link up , so if it comes to shared care I will be prepared.
- To look at our provision and see how best to organise and accommodate more children'



SCHOOLS FORUM

15 June 2016

Report from the Strategic Director of Children
and Young People

For Information & Decision

6. Dedicated Schools Grant – Outturn 2015-16 and Budget 2016-17

1.0 SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report sets out the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) outturn for 2015/16, including the Individual Schools Budget (delegated budgets) and the central expenditure elements of the DSG.
- 1.2 An update on the latest provisional DSG settlement for 2016-17 is also provided. This settlement is however subject to change throughout the year, due to academy conversions that occur during the year and due to the early years census updates.
- 1.3 The deployment of this DSG settlement for 2016-17 is also detailed.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 The Schools Forum is requested to:
 - a. note the outturn for delegated (ISB) schools revenue balances for 2015-16;
 - b. note the outturn for central expenditure elements of the DSG for 2015-16;
 - c. note the revised DSG allocations for 2016-17
 - d. agree the DSG budget for 2016-17;
voting is open to all members

3.0 INDIVIDUAL SCHOOLS BUDGETS (ISB) – REVENUE BALANCES 2015-16

- 3.1 The table below compares schools delegated balances for 2015/16 against 2014/15, by sector. These are the cumulative revenue surpluses and deficits

held by each individual school at the end of the financial periods to 31st March of each year.

SECTOR	CLOSING BALANCES		IN YEAR MOVEMENT
	2015-16	2014-15	Surplus +ve/ Deficit -ve
NURSERY	580,834	484,008	96,826
PRIMARY	17,113,299	16,570,130	543,169
SECONDARY	721,575	431,006	290,569
SPECIAL	1,547,170	1,937,287	-390,117
TOTAL	19,962,878	19,422,431	540,447

Note that schools that converted to academy status prior to 31st March 2016 have been excluded from the cumulative numbers for both years, to allow for a full comparison.

- 3.2 The table shows that these balances have increased by £540k between years. This compares to an increase last year of £82k from the previous year.
- 3.3 At the end of 2015-16 only one school remains in deficit, amounting to £9k. This school is expected to move into surplus early in the 2016-17 financial year. The table below shows the positive movement of schools in deficit over recent years and reflects the effort of officers to reduce the number of schools in deficit, and the deficit balances these schools carry forward.

	2015-16	2014-15	2013-14	2012-13
Number of Schools	1	3	6	6
Total Deficit	9,442	340,156	584,723	776,928

Note that schools that have since converted to academy status have been excluded from these numbers, to allow for a full comparison.

4.0 CENTRAL EXPENDITURE BUDGETS – OUTTURN 2015-16

- 4.1 The final outturn on central budgets for 2015-16 was an underspend of £669,622. A breakdown of this underspend is attached as Appendix A.
- 4.2 All underspends on the DSG are placed into a ring-fenced earmarked reserve, in line with DSG financial regulations, and is available for use in future years. The cumulative DSG reserve is therefore now £1,019,053. It is proposed to hold this reserve as a contingency for any in-year pressures on the central budgets, in order to mitigate against the risk of any other deficit.

5.0 DSG ALLOCATIONS 2016-17

- 5.1 The latest DSG allocations for 2016-17 were released by the DfE in March 2016. This is attached as Background Paper 1. The DSG allocation for Brent is shown as £200.54m after recoupment of academies and direct funding for High Needs places.

- 5.2 The DSG allocations are however subject to change throughout the year as academy conversions materialise. A breakdown by block is shown in the table below, with additional adjustment shown for academy conversions that have completed thus far for 2016-17. At present, no further academy conversions are known, and any such conversions will be reported on, as and when they happen.

2016/17 DSG Allocations	£'000				
	Total (Before Recoupment)	Recoupment (at 31st March 16)	Total (After Recoupment) (At 31st March 2016)	Recoupment (Conversions so far 2016)	Current Total (After Recoupment)
Schools Block	223,657	-98,256	125,401	-5,497	119,904
High Needs Block	55,939	-3,204	52,735		52,735
Early Years Block	22,344		22,344		22,344
Additions					
Funding for Induction for Newly Qualified Teachers	60		60		60
Total	301,999	-101,460	200,540	-5,497	195,043

- 5.3 The Early Years allocations will also change throughout the year, based on census data.

6.0 DSG BUDGET 2016-17

- 6.1 Based on the latest DSG allocations, Appendix B sets out the overall DSG budget for 2016-17.

- 6.2 The central budgets remain at the same level as 2015-16 apart from the following items agreed at previous Schools Forums:

- Growth Fund (Additional Classes) – now £3.5m, a decrease of £258k.
- Wembley Learning Zone – now £88k, an increase of £7k.
- Blanket Licences – now £191k, an increase of £5k.
- Duke of Edinburgh – one off for 2016-17 at £19k.
- De-Delegations – now £580k, a decrease of £10k.

- 6.3 In addition, it is proposed to increase the Early Years budgets by £2m, due to ongoing pressures on these budgets. The statutory spend on Early Years is expected to exceed the budget allocations by at least £2m in 2016-17. This is assumed in the budget allocations shown in Appendix B.

7.0 BACKGROUND PAPERS

1. Dedicated Schools Grant Allocations 2016-17.

8.0 APPENDICES

- A. 2015-16 Central Expenditure Outturn.
- B. 2016-17 Central Expenditure Budgets.

CONTACT OFFICERS

Norwena Thomas
Senior Finance Analyst

0208 937 3068

Phil Herd
Interim Head of Finance – CYP

0208 937 4825

Dedicated schools grant: 2016-17 allocations local authority summary	2016-17 DSG allocations prior to deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA					2016-17 DSG allocations, after deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA				
	2016-17 schools block (£million)	2016-17 provisional early years block (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)	2016-17 schools block allocation (£million)	2016-17 provision early years block allocation (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)
ENGLAND	20,004.82	2,717.49	5,299.87	10.20	28,032.38	20,004.82	2,717.49	4,836.59	10.20	27,569.10
201	City of London	1.78	0.36	0.31	0.00	2.45	1.78	0.36	0.31	0.00
202	Camden	109.59	17.43	32.28	0.03	159.33	109.59	17.43	31.92	0.03
203	Greenwich	166.16	19.63	39.10	0.05	224.95	166.16	19.63	35.71	0.05
204	Hackney	145.15	26.45	36.44	0.04	208.08	145.15	26.45	36.01	0.04
205	Hammersmith and Fulham	52.11	12.58	19.03	0.02	83.74	52.11	12.58	16.47	0.02
206	Islington	115.31	17.69	25.80	0.03	158.83	115.31	17.69	25.12	0.03
207	Kensington and Chelsea	42.03	8.60	17.48	0.02	68.12	42.03	8.60	15.66	0.02
208	Lambeth	158.74	24.95	36.90	0.05	220.63	158.74	24.95	34.55	0.05
209	Lewisham	191.43	21.20	43.97	0.05	256.66	191.43	21.20	43.12	0.05
210	Southwark	124.59	27.88	38.91	0.05	191.43	124.59	27.88	37.13	0.05
211	Tower Hamlets	234.28	24.91	43.98	0.05	303.23	234.28	24.91	41.95	0.05
212	Wandsworth	94.19	18.23	42.72	0.04	155.18	94.19	18.23	40.07	0.04
213	Westminster	49.63	12.32	24.23	0.03	86.21	49.63	12.32	22.34	0.03
301	Barking and Dagenham	172.78	15.13	23.75	0.05	211.70	172.78	15.13	22.93	0.05
302	Barnet	145.51	19.57	41.83	0.07	206.97	145.51	19.57	39.85	0.07
303	Bexley	48.62	11.11	27.53	0.05	87.32	48.62	11.11	25.50	0.05
304	Brent	125.40	22.34	55.94	0.06	203.74	125.40	22.34	52.73	0.06
305	Bromley	26.44	14.75	48.27	0.06	89.52	26.44	14.75	42.13	0.06
306	Croydon	109.23	21.59	51.63	0.07	182.51	109.23	21.59	48.88	0.07
307	Ealing	201.17	25.28	44.38	0.06	270.90	201.17	25.28	43.42	0.06
308	Enfield	210.98	17.73	32.12	0.07	260.90	210.98	17.73	30.89	0.07
309	Haringey	143.58	15.46	31.64	0.05	190.72	143.58	15.46	30.30	0.05
310	Harrow	93.90	11.89	26.21	0.05	132.03	93.90	11.89	24.45	0.05
311	Havering	92.69	10.85	19.49	0.05	123.07	92.69	10.85	18.12	0.05
312	Hillingdon	93.35	19.30	32.27	0.06	144.98	93.35	19.30	27.76	0.06
313	Hounslow	110.05	13.19	33.43	0.05	156.73	110.05	13.19	32.04	0.05
314	Kingston upon Thames	50.58	7.61	18.78	0.03	77.00	50.58	7.61	17.34	0.03
315	Merton	101.51	11.12	27.76	0.04	140.43	101.51	11.12	27.03	0.04
316	Newham	265.97	21.90	41.69	0.08	329.64	265.97	21.90	40.26	0.08
317	Redbridge	175.22	18.39	36.32	0.07	230.00	175.22	18.39	34.87	0.07
318	Richmond upon Thames	68.33	9.49	20.84	0.03	98.69	68.33	9.49	20.25	0.03
319	Sutton	72.66	10.19	31.46	0.04	114.35	72.66	10.19	27.33	0.04
320	Waltham Forest	131.57	17.47	34.76	0.05	183.85	131.57	17.47	27.01	0.05
330	Birmingham	522.22	81.59	125.71	0.25	729.76	522.22	81.59	105.13	0.25
331	Coventry	131.87	16.56	30.38	0.07	178.88	131.87	16.56	27.11	0.07
332	Dudley	137.37	13.53	31.47	0.06	182.43	137.37	13.53	30.61	0.06
333	Sandwell	147.39	16.47	37.51	0.07	201.45	147.39	16.47	36.31	0.07
334	Solihull	73.06	8.05	25.09	0.05	106.25	73.06	8.05	22.64	0.05
335	Walsall	101.85	14.82	28.84	0.06	145.57	101.85	14.82	27.60	0.06
336	Wolverhampton	91.24	14.26	27.84	0.05	133.40	91.24	14.26	24.04	0.05
340	Knowsley	67.37	8.56	19.89	0.03	95.84	67.37	8.56	19.05	0.03
341	Liverpool	231.74	26.98	44.25	0.08	303.06	231.74	26.98	41.20	0.08
342	St Helens	90.18	7.42	18.35	0.03	115.99	90.18	7.42	17.85	0.03
343	Sefton	111.76	12.19	27.16	0.05	151.16	111.76	12.19	26.14	0.05
344	Wirral	126.21	15.41	33.71	0.06	175.40	126.21	15.41	30.51	0.06
350	Bolton	156.63	16.84	28.00	0.06	201.53	156.63	16.84	26.83	0.06
351	Bury	113.78	8.61	24.42	0.04	146.85	113.78	8.61	23.75	0.04
352	Manchester	216.86	40.78	64.98	0.10	322.72	216.86	40.78	61.03	0.10
353	Oldham	123.36	14.33	26.26	0.06	164.01	123.36	14.33	21.94	0.06
354	Rochdale	128.24	12.92	23.16	0.05	164.36	128.24	12.92	22.29	0.05
355	Salford	123.06	21.96	29.81	0.04	174.87	123.06	21.96	27.28	0.04
356	Stockport	125.87	15.18	27.79	0.05	168.89	125.87	15.18	26.82	0.05

Dedicated schools grant: 2016-17 allocations local authority summary		2016-17 DSG allocations prior to deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA					2016-17 DSG allocations, after deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA				
		2016-17 schools block (£million)	2016-17 provisional early years block (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)	2016-17 schools block allocation (£million)	2016-17 provision early years block allocation (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)
357	Tameside	111.56	10.13	14.98	0.05	136.73	111.56	10.13	14.23	0.05	135.97
358	Trafford	87.20	12.44	23.73	0.05	123.42	87.20	12.44	20.67	0.05	120.36
359	Wigan	156.25	13.37	26.47	0.06	196.17	156.25	13.37	22.96	0.06	192.65
370	Barnsley	83.41	11.72	18.87	0.04	114.05	83.41	11.72	13.35	0.04	108.52
371	Doncaster	81.19	14.28	28.05	0.06	123.58	81.19	14.28	26.53	0.06	122.06
372	Rotherham	85.63	12.79	21.22	0.06	119.70	85.63	12.79	20.04	0.06	118.52
373	Sheffield	154.35	27.08	52.79	0.10	234.32	154.35	27.08	50.64	0.10	232.17
380	Bradford	291.76	40.78	50.61	0.12	383.27	291.76	40.78	45.82	0.12	378.49
381	Calderdale	69.71	11.40	19.79	0.05	100.94	69.71	11.40	19.01	0.05	100.16
382	Kirklees	197.18	23.73	30.73	0.09	251.72	197.18	23.73	29.83	0.09	250.83
383	Leeds	330.55	39.16	58.80	0.15	428.65	330.55	39.16	56.13	0.15	425.98
384	Wakefield	72.69	17.20	24.38	0.07	114.33	72.69	17.20	22.82	0.07	112.77
390	Gateshead	68.25	9.22	21.04	0.03	98.55	68.25	9.22	19.30	0.03	96.81
391	Newcastle upon Tyne	105.51	15.56	29.87	0.05	150.99	105.51	15.56	26.96	0.05	148.08
392	North Tyneside	105.17	7.84	17.21	0.04	130.26	105.17	7.84	16.52	0.04	129.56
393	South Tyneside	69.26	7.13	16.24	0.03	92.65	69.26	7.13	15.91	0.03	92.32
394	Sunderland	81.68	16.13	23.58	0.05	121.44	81.68	16.13	17.40	0.05	115.26
800	Bath and North East Somerset	56.11	6.78	16.16	0.03	79.08	56.11	6.78	12.00	0.03	74.92
801	Bristol, City of	107.20	30.67	43.30	0.07	181.24	107.20	30.67	38.89	0.07	176.83
802	North Somerset	69.28	8.14	17.30	0.04	94.76	69.28	8.14	16.88	0.04	94.34
803	South Gloucestershire	86.28	11.77	26.20	0.05	124.30	86.28	11.77	24.78	0.05	122.88
805	Hartlepool	36.60	4.52	10.62	0.02	51.76	36.60	4.52	8.76	0.02	49.89
806	Middlesbrough	40.02	8.09	19.68	0.03	67.82	40.02	8.09	16.03	0.03	64.17
807	Redcar and Cleveland	46.70	6.59	15.02	0.03	68.34	46.70	6.59	12.90	0.03	66.22
808	Stockton-on-Tees	70.08	9.36	21.31	0.04	100.79	70.08	9.36	14.95	0.04	94.43
810	Kingston Upon Hull, City of	59.23	15.38	26.35	0.05	101.00	59.23	15.38	21.47	0.05	96.12
811	East Riding of Yorkshire	141.55	13.43	19.54	0.06	174.58	141.55	13.43	19.11	0.06	174.15
812	North East Lincolnshire	11.39	7.71	16.27	0.03	35.41	11.39	7.71	11.70	0.03	30.84
813	North Lincolnshire	54.14	7.68	14.89	0.03	76.74	54.14	7.68	14.51	0.03	76.36
815	North Yorkshire	272.10	23.95	44.33	0.11	340.48	272.10	23.95	41.81	0.11	337.97
816	York	78.02	6.59	15.48	0.03	100.13	78.02	6.59	14.48	0.03	99.13
821	Luton	116.20	14.89	23.51	0.05	154.66	116.20	14.89	22.99	0.05	154.13
822	Bedford Borough	51.03	8.36	17.56	0.03	76.99	51.03	8.36	13.56	0.03	72.99
823	Central Bedfordshire	68.57	12.37	25.79	0.05	106.79	68.57	12.37	22.08	0.05	103.07
825	Buckinghamshire	184.04	23.05	69.39	0.10	276.57	184.04	23.05	65.21	0.10	272.40
826	Milton Keynes	111.59	13.97	33.01	0.06	158.63	111.59	13.97	28.70	0.06	154.33
830	Derbyshire	334.90	33.65	63.39	0.14	432.08	334.90	33.65	61.21	0.14	429.90
831	Derby	116.23	14.81	26.91	0.05	158.00	116.23	14.81	25.58	0.05	156.68
835	Dorset	125.21	12.80	37.07	0.07	175.14	125.21	12.80	34.86	0.07	172.94
836	Poole	38.25	5.92	12.97	0.03	57.16	38.25	5.92	10.38	0.03	54.58
837	Bournemouth	13.82	7.89	14.57	0.03	36.31	13.82	7.89	12.45	0.03	34.19
840	Durham	213.75	22.89	47.32	0.09	284.04	213.75	22.89	44.39	0.09	281.12
841	Darlington	13.29	4.96	9.47	0.02	27.74	13.29	4.96	6.62	0.02	24.89
845	East Sussex	181.38	19.36	43.54	0.09	244.37	181.38	19.36	35.00	0.09	235.83
846	Brighton and Hove	121.88	12.19	23.67	0.04	157.78	121.88	12.19	23.15	0.04	157.26
850	Hampshire	555.54	58.75	93.20	0.24	707.73	555.54	58.75	87.36	0.24	701.90
851	Portsmouth	68.04	10.60	16.00	0.03	94.67	68.04	10.60	13.23	0.03	91.90
852	Southampton	95.03	14.17	18.86	0.04	128.11	95.03	14.17	18.09	0.04	127.34
855	Leicestershire	114.67	22.45	53.87	0.13	191.12	114.67	22.45	45.44	0.13	182.70
856	Leicester	203.88	18.90	41.10	0.07	263.96	203.88	18.90	38.72	0.07	261.57
857	Rutland	3.41	1.43	4.02	0.01	8.87	3.41	1.43	3.71	0.01	8.56
860	Staffordshire	306.04	32.10	65.09	0.16	403.39	306.04	32.10	56.29	0.16	394.59
861	Stoke-on-Trent	71.64	15.96	28.73	0.05	116.38	71.64	15.96	28.43	0.05	116.07

Dedicated schools grant: 2016-17 allocations local authority summary		2016-17 DSG allocations prior to deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA					2016-17 DSG allocations, after deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA				
		2016-17 schools block (£million)	2016-17 provisional early years block (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)	2016-17 schools block allocation (£million)	2016-17 provision early years block allocation (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)
865	Wiltshire	132.02	19.26	38.13	0.09	189.50	132.02	19.26	31.96	0.09	183.32
866	Swindon	47.96	10.48	24.84	0.04	83.33	47.96	10.48	22.82	0.04	81.31
867	Bracknell Forest	62.14	5.21	12.65	0.02	80.02	62.14	5.21	11.90	0.02	79.28
868	Windsor and Maidenhead	42.53	7.37	15.99	0.03	65.91	42.53	7.37	15.33	0.03	65.25
869	West Berkshire	66.65	6.76	18.12	0.03	91.56	66.65	6.76	16.43	0.03	89.87
870	Reading	51.59	9.48	16.83	0.03	77.94	51.59	9.48	15.06	0.03	76.17
871	Slough	48.07	11.37	20.91	0.04	80.37	48.07	11.37	17.13	0.04	76.59
872	Wokingham	64.71	6.78	17.71	0.03	89.23	64.71	6.78	17.09	0.03	88.61
873	Cambridgeshire	155.00	25.67	64.90	0.11	245.68	155.00	25.67	60.03	0.11	240.81
874	Peterborough	79.06	13.42	27.51	0.05	120.04	79.06	13.42	25.99	0.05	118.52
876	Halton	59.05	5.53	14.50	0.03	79.10	59.05	5.53	13.23	0.03	77.84
877	Warrington	84.22	8.85	20.53	0.04	113.64	84.22	8.85	19.71	0.04	112.82
878	Devon	233.86	27.13	60.40	0.13	321.51	233.86	27.13	55.62	0.13	316.73
879	Plymouth	70.79	13.51	25.93	0.05	110.28	70.79	13.51	24.13	0.05	108.48
880	Torbay	20.76	4.99	14.38	0.02	40.15	20.76	4.99	11.32	0.02	37.09
881	Essex	370.67	56.08	118.13	0.27	545.14	370.67	56.08	106.47	0.27	533.49
882	Southend-on-Sea	56.98	7.98	16.87	0.04	81.86	56.98	7.98	13.45	0.04	78.44
883	Thurrock	24.66	8.74	20.68	0.04	54.11	24.66	8.74	17.48	0.04	50.92
884	Herefordshire	56.07	6.28	13.97	0.03	76.35	56.07	6.28	11.97	0.03	74.35
885	Worcestershire	163.85	20.41	44.90	0.10	229.26	163.85	20.41	37.40	0.10	221.76
886	Kent	469.21	70.56	150.53	0.29	690.58	469.21	70.56	139.62	0.29	679.67
887	Medway	63.90	15.27	32.66	0.06	111.89	63.90	15.27	23.49	0.06	102.71
888	Lancashire	617.05	56.92	101.15	0.23	775.34	617.05	56.92	96.46	0.23	770.65
889	Blackburn with Darwen	67.77	10.06	22.09	0.04	99.96	67.77	10.06	21.35	0.04	99.21
890	Blackpool	28.42	7.32	16.74	0.03	52.50	28.42	7.32	14.08	0.03	49.85
891	Nottinghamshire	225.49	33.58	57.29	0.15	316.50	225.49	33.58	54.06	0.15	313.27
892	Nottingham	76.78	17.05	25.80	0.06	119.69	76.78	17.05	23.49	0.06	117.38
893	Shropshire	103.53	9.81	25.94	0.05	139.33	103.53	9.81	21.58	0.05	134.97
894	Telford and Wrekin	80.15	9.57	16.45	0.03	106.21	80.15	9.57	15.93	0.03	105.69
895	Cheshire East	95.63	16.48	35.50	0.07	147.67	95.63	16.48	34.09	0.07	146.27
896	Cheshire West and Chester	139.22	16.01	42.47	0.06	197.76	139.22	16.01	39.70	0.06	195.00
908	Cornwall	138.59	21.08	32.25	0.10	192.01	138.59	21.08	25.54	0.10	185.30
909	Cumbria	180.42	17.38	40.09	0.09	237.98	180.42	17.38	37.10	0.09	234.99
916	Gloucestershire	171.34	24.46	52.39	0.11	248.30	171.34	24.46	50.54	0.11	246.46
919	Hertfordshire	423.11	60.72	97.86	0.23	581.92	423.11	60.72	91.55	0.23	575.61
921	Isle of Wight	50.23	5.13	12.38	0.02	67.76	50.23	5.13	11.68	0.02	67.06
925	Lincolnshire	167.47	30.52	63.28	0.13	261.40	167.47	30.52	52.86	0.13	250.98
926	Norfolk	257.98	33.32	67.64	0.15	359.10	257.98	33.32	61.09	0.15	352.55
928	Northamptonshire	148.20	33.60	67.44	0.15	249.38	148.20	33.60	52.65	0.15	234.59
929	Northumberland	122.76	11.57	30.51	0.06	164.89	122.76	11.57	27.84	0.06	162.23
931	Oxfordshire	161.01	32.93	50.83	0.12	244.89	161.01	32.93	44.31	0.12	238.37
933	Somerset	157.53	19.66	41.74	0.09	219.03	157.53	19.66	39.95	0.09	217.24
935	Suffolk	208.65	36.70	47.43	0.13	292.91	208.65	36.70	41.15	0.13	286.63
936	Surrey	362.46	49.34	125.32	0.20	537.32	362.46	49.34	118.19	0.20	530.19
937	Warwickshire	166.00	24.91	54.79	0.10	245.80	166.00	24.91	50.63	0.10	241.64
938	West Sussex	298.21	33.18	71.67	0.15	403.21	298.21	33.18	67.72	0.15	399.26

Dedicated schools grant: 2016-17 allocations local authority summary		2016-17 DSG allocations prior to deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA					2016-17 DSG allocations, after deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA				
		2016-17 schools block (£million)	2016-17 provisional early years block (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)	2016-17 schools block allocation (£million)	2016-17 provision early years block allocation (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)
ENGLAND		20,004.82	2,717.49	5,299.87	10.20	28,032.38	20,004.82	2,717.49	4,836.59	10.20	27,569.10
201	City of London	1.78	0.36	0.31	0.00	2.45	1.78	0.36	0.31	0.00	2.45
202	Camden	109.59	17.43	32.28	0.03	159.33	109.59	17.43	31.92	0.03	158.97
203	Greenwich	166.16	19.63	39.10	0.05	224.95	166.16	19.63	35.71	0.05	221.55
204	Hackney	145.15	26.45	36.44	0.04	208.08	145.15	26.45	36.01	0.04	207.65
205	Hammersmith and Fulham	52.11	12.58	19.03	0.02	83.74	52.11	12.58	16.47	0.02	81.18
206	Islington	115.31	17.69	25.80	0.03	158.83	115.31	17.69	25.12	0.03	158.16
207	Kensington and Chelsea	42.03	8.60	17.48	0.02	68.12	42.03	8.60	15.66	0.02	66.30
208	Lambeth	158.74	24.95	36.90	0.05	220.63	158.74	24.95	34.55	0.05	218.28
209	Lewisham	191.43	21.20	43.97	0.05	256.66	191.43	21.20	43.12	0.05	255.80
210	Southwark	124.59	27.88	38.91	0.05	191.43	124.59	27.88	37.13	0.05	189.65
211	Tower Hamlets	234.28	24.91	43.98	0.05	303.23	234.28	24.91	41.95	0.05	301.19
212	Wandsworth	94.19	18.23	42.72	0.04	155.18	94.19	18.23	40.07	0.04	152.53
213	Westminster	49.63	12.32	24.23	0.03	86.21	49.63	12.32	22.34	0.03	84.32
301	Barking and Dagenham	172.78	15.13	23.75	0.05	211.70	172.78	15.13	22.93	0.05	210.89
302	Barnet	145.51	19.57	41.83	0.07	206.97	145.51	19.57	39.85	0.07	204.99
303	Bexley	48.62	11.11	27.53	0.05	87.32	48.62	11.11	25.50	0.05	85.28
304	Brent	125.40	22.34	55.94	0.06	203.74	125.40	22.34	52.73	0.06	200.54
305	Bromley	26.44	14.75	48.27	0.06	89.52	26.44	14.75	42.13	0.06	83.38
306	Croydon	109.23	21.59	51.63	0.07	182.51	109.23	21.59	48.88	0.07	179.76
307	Ealing	201.17	25.28	44.38	0.06	270.90	201.17	25.28	43.42	0.06	269.93
308	Enfield	210.98	17.73	32.12	0.07	260.90	210.98	17.73	30.89	0.07	259.66
309	Haringey	143.58	15.46	31.64	0.05	190.72	143.58	15.46	30.30	0.05	189.39
310	Harrow	93.90	11.89	26.21	0.05	132.03	93.90	11.89	24.45	0.05	130.27
311	Havering	92.69	10.85	19.49	0.05	123.07	92.69	10.85	18.12	0.05	121.71
312	Hillingdon	93.35	19.30	32.27	0.06	144.98	93.35	19.30	27.76	0.06	140.47
313	Hounslow	110.05	13.19	33.43	0.05	156.73	110.05	13.19	32.04	0.05	155.34
314	Kingston upon Thames	50.58	7.61	18.78	0.03	77.00	50.58	7.61	17.34	0.03	75.56
315	Merton	101.51	11.12	27.76	0.04	140.43	101.51	11.12	27.03	0.04	139.70
316	Newham	265.97	21.90	41.69	0.08	329.64	265.97	21.90	40.26	0.08	328.21
317	Redbridge	175.22	18.39	36.32	0.07	230.00	175.22	18.39	34.87	0.07	228.55
318	Richmond upon Thames	68.33	9.49	20.84	0.03	98.69	68.33	9.49	20.25	0.03	98.10
319	Sutton	72.66	10.19	31.46	0.04	114.35	72.66	10.19	27.33	0.04	110.23
320	Waltham Forest	131.57	17.47	34.76	0.05	183.85	131.57	17.47	27.01	0.05	176.10
330	Birmingham	522.22	81.59	125.71	0.25	729.76	522.22	81.59	105.13	0.25	709.19
331	Coventry	131.87	16.56	30.38	0.07	178.88	131.87	16.56	27.11	0.07	175.62
332	Dudley	137.37	13.53	31.47	0.06	182.43	137.37	13.53	30.61	0.06	181.57
333	Sandwell	147.39	16.47	37.51	0.07	201.45	147.39	16.47	36.31	0.07	200.25
334	Solihull	73.06	8.05	25.09	0.05	106.25	73.06	8.05	22.64	0.05	103.80
335	Walsall	101.85	14.82	28.84	0.06	145.57	101.85	14.82	27.60	0.06	144.33
336	Wolverhampton	91.24	14.26	27.84	0.05	133.40	91.24	14.26	24.04	0.05	129.60
340	Knowsley	67.37	8.56	19.89	0.03	95.84	67.37	8.56	19.05	0.03	95.00
341	Liverpool	231.74	26.98	44.25	0.08	303.06	231.74	26.98	41.20	0.08	300.01
342	St Helens	90.18	7.42	18.35	0.03	115.99	90.18	7.42	17.85	0.03	115.48
343	Sefton	111.76	12.19	27.16	0.05	151.16	111.76	12.19	26.14	0.05	150.14
344	Wirral	126.21	15.41	33.71	0.06	175.40	126.21	15.41	30.51	0.06	172.20
350	Bolton	156.63	16.84	28.00	0.06	201.53	156.63	16.84	26.83	0.06	200.36
351	Bury	113.78	8.61	24.42	0.04	146.85	113.78	8.61	23.75	0.04	146.18
352	Manchester	216.86	40.78	64.98	0.10	322.72	216.86	40.78	61.03	0.10	318.77
353	Oldham	123.36	14.33	26.26	0.06	164.01	123.36	14.33	21.94	0.06	159.69
354	Rochdale	128.24	12.92	23.16	0.05	164.36	128.24	12.92	22.29	0.05	163.50
355	Salford	123.06	21.96	29.81	0.04	174.87	123.06	21.96	27.28	0.04	172.33
356	Stockport	125.87	15.18	27.79	0.05	168.89	125.87	15.18	26.82	0.05	167.92
357	Tameside	111.56	10.13	14.98	0.05	136.73	111.56	10.13	14.23	0.05	135.97

Dedicated schools grant: 2016-17 allocations local authority summary		2016-17 DSG allocations prior to deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA					2016-17 DSG allocations, after deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA				
		2016-17 schools block (£million)	2016-17 provisional early years block (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)	2016-17 schools block allocation (£million)	2016-17 provision early years block allocation (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)
358	Trafford	87.20	12.44	23.73	0.05	123.42	87.20	12.44	20.67	0.05	120.36
359	Wigan	156.25	13.37	26.47	0.06	196.17	156.25	13.37	22.96	0.06	192.65
370	Barnsley	83.41	11.72	18.87	0.04	114.05	83.41	11.72	13.35	0.04	108.52
371	Doncaster	81.19	14.28	28.05	0.06	123.58	81.19	14.28	26.53	0.06	122.06
372	Rotherham	85.63	12.79	21.22	0.06	119.70	85.63	12.79	20.04	0.06	118.52
373	Sheffield	154.35	27.08	52.79	0.10	234.32	154.35	27.08	50.64	0.10	232.17
380	Bradford	291.76	40.78	50.61	0.12	383.27	291.76	40.78	45.82	0.12	378.49
381	Calderdale	69.71	11.40	19.79	0.05	100.94	69.71	11.40	19.01	0.05	100.16
382	Kirklees	197.18	23.73	30.73	0.09	251.72	197.18	23.73	29.83	0.09	250.83
383	Leeds	330.55	39.16	58.80	0.15	428.65	330.55	39.16	56.13	0.15	425.98
384	Wakefield	72.69	17.20	24.38	0.07	114.33	72.69	17.20	22.82	0.07	112.77
390	Gateshead	68.25	9.22	21.04	0.03	98.55	68.25	9.22	19.30	0.03	96.81
391	Newcastle upon Tyne	105.51	15.56	29.87	0.05	150.99	105.51	15.56	26.96	0.05	148.08
392	North Tyneside	105.17	7.84	17.21	0.04	130.26	105.17	7.84	16.52	0.04	129.56
393	South Tyneside	69.26	7.13	16.24	0.03	92.65	69.26	7.13	15.91	0.03	92.32
394	Sunderland	81.68	16.13	23.58	0.05	121.44	81.68	16.13	17.40	0.05	115.26
800	Bath and North East Somerset	56.11	6.78	16.16	0.03	79.08	56.11	6.78	12.00	0.03	74.92
801	Bristol, City of	107.20	30.67	43.30	0.07	181.24	107.20	30.67	38.89	0.07	176.83
802	North Somerset	69.28	8.14	17.30	0.04	94.76	69.28	8.14	16.88	0.04	94.34
803	South Gloucestershire	86.28	11.77	26.20	0.05	124.30	86.28	11.77	24.78	0.05	122.88
805	Hartlepool	36.60	4.52	10.62	0.02	51.76	36.60	4.52	8.76	0.02	49.89
806	Middlesbrough	40.02	8.09	19.68	0.03	67.82	40.02	8.09	16.03	0.03	64.17
807	Redcar and Cleveland	46.70	6.59	15.02	0.03	68.34	46.70	6.59	12.90	0.03	66.22
808	Stockton-on-Tees	70.08	9.36	21.31	0.04	100.79	70.08	9.36	14.95	0.04	94.43
810	Kingston Upon Hull, City of	59.23	15.38	26.35	0.05	101.00	59.23	15.38	21.47	0.05	96.12
811	East Riding of Yorkshire	141.55	13.43	19.54	0.06	174.58	141.55	13.43	19.11	0.06	174.15
812	North East Lincolnshire	11.39	7.71	16.27	0.03	35.41	11.39	7.71	11.70	0.03	30.84
813	North Lincolnshire	54.14	7.68	14.89	0.03	76.74	54.14	7.68	14.51	0.03	76.36
815	North Yorkshire	272.10	23.95	44.33	0.11	340.48	272.10	23.95	41.81	0.11	337.97
816	York	78.02	6.59	15.48	0.03	100.13	78.02	6.59	14.48	0.03	99.13
821	Luton	116.20	14.89	23.51	0.05	154.66	116.20	14.89	22.99	0.05	154.13
822	Bedford Borough	51.03	8.36	17.56	0.03	76.99	51.03	8.36	13.56	0.03	72.99
823	Central Bedfordshire	68.57	12.37	25.79	0.05	106.79	68.57	12.37	22.08	0.05	103.07
825	Buckinghamshire	184.04	23.05	69.39	0.10	276.57	184.04	23.05	65.21	0.10	272.40
826	Milton Keynes	111.59	13.97	33.01	0.06	158.63	111.59	13.97	28.70	0.06	154.33
830	Derbyshire	334.90	33.65	63.39	0.14	432.08	334.90	33.65	61.21	0.14	429.90
831	Derby	116.23	14.81	26.91	0.05	158.00	116.23	14.81	25.58	0.05	156.68
835	Dorset	125.21	12.80	37.07	0.07	175.14	125.21	12.80	34.86	0.07	172.94
836	Poole	38.25	5.92	12.97	0.03	57.16	38.25	5.92	10.38	0.03	54.58
837	Bournemouth	13.82	7.89	14.57	0.03	36.31	13.82	7.89	12.45	0.03	34.19
840	Durham	213.75	22.89	47.32	0.09	284.04	213.75	22.89	44.39	0.09	281.12
841	Darlington	13.29	4.96	9.47	0.02	27.74	13.29	4.96	6.62	0.02	24.89
845	East Sussex	181.38	19.36	43.54	0.09	244.37	181.38	19.36	35.00	0.09	235.83
846	Brighton and Hove	121.88	12.19	23.67	0.04	157.78	121.88	12.19	23.15	0.04	157.26
850	Hampshire	555.54	58.75	93.20	0.24	707.73	555.54	58.75	87.36	0.24	701.90
851	Portsmouth	68.04	10.60	16.00	0.03	94.67	68.04	10.60	13.23	0.03	91.90
852	Southampton	95.03	14.17	18.86	0.04	128.11	95.03	14.17	18.09	0.04	127.34
855	Leicestershire	114.67	22.45	53.87	0.13	191.12	114.67	22.45	45.44	0.13	182.70
856	Leicester	203.88	18.90	41.10	0.07	263.96	203.88	18.90	38.72	0.07	261.57
857	Rutland	3.41	1.43	4.02	0.01	8.87	3.41	1.43	3.71	0.01	8.56
860	Staffordshire	306.04	32.10	65.09	0.16	403.39	306.04	32.10	56.29	0.16	394.59
861	Stoke-on-Trent	71.64	15.96	28.73	0.05	116.38	71.64	15.96	28.43	0.05	116.07
865	Wiltshire	132.02	19.26	38.13	0.09	189.50	132.02	19.26	31.96	0.09	183.32
866	Swindon	47.96	10.48	24.84	0.04	83.33	47.96	10.48	22.82	0.04	81.31

Dedicated schools grant: 2016-17 allocations local authority summary		2016-17 DSG allocations prior to deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA					2016-17 DSG allocations, after deductions for academies recoupment and direct funding of high needs places by EFA				
		2016-17 schools block (£million)	2016-17 provisional early years block (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)	2016-17 schools block allocation (£million)	2016-17 provision early years block allocation (£million)	2016-17 high needs block allocation (£million)	2016-17 total additions for non block funding (£million)	2016-17 total DSG allocation (£million)
867	Bracknell Forest	62.14	5.21	12.65	0.02	80.02	62.14	5.21	11.90	0.02	79.28
868	Windsor and Maidenhead	42.53	7.37	15.99	0.03	65.91	42.53	7.37	15.33	0.03	65.25
869	West Berkshire	66.65	6.76	18.12	0.03	91.56	66.65	6.76	16.43	0.03	89.87
870	Reading	51.59	9.48	16.83	0.03	77.94	51.59	9.48	15.06	0.03	76.17
871	Slough	48.07	11.37	20.91	0.04	80.37	48.07	11.37	17.13	0.04	76.59
872	Wokingham	64.71	6.78	17.71	0.03	89.23	64.71	6.78	17.09	0.03	88.61
873	Cambridgeshire	155.00	25.67	64.90	0.11	245.68	155.00	25.67	60.03	0.11	240.81
874	Peterborough	79.06	13.42	27.51	0.05	120.04	79.06	13.42	25.99	0.05	118.52
876	Halton	59.05	5.53	14.50	0.03	79.10	59.05	5.53	13.23	0.03	77.84
877	Warrington	84.22	8.85	20.53	0.04	113.64	84.22	8.85	19.71	0.04	112.82
878	Devon	233.86	27.13	60.40	0.13	321.51	233.86	27.13	55.62	0.13	316.73
879	Plymouth	70.79	13.51	25.93	0.05	110.28	70.79	13.51	24.13	0.05	108.48
880	Torbay	20.76	4.99	14.38	0.02	40.15	20.76	4.99	11.32	0.02	37.09
881	Essex	370.67	56.08	118.13	0.27	545.14	370.67	56.08	106.47	0.27	533.49
882	Southend-on-Sea	56.98	7.98	16.87	0.04	81.86	56.98	7.98	13.45	0.04	78.44
883	Thurrock	24.66	8.74	20.68	0.04	54.11	24.66	8.74	17.48	0.04	50.92
884	Herefordshire	56.07	6.28	13.97	0.03	76.35	56.07	6.28	11.97	0.03	74.35
885	Worcestershire	163.85	20.41	44.90	0.10	229.26	163.85	20.41	37.40	0.10	221.76
886	Kent	469.21	70.56	150.53	0.29	690.58	469.21	70.56	139.62	0.29	679.67
887	Medway	63.90	15.27	32.66	0.06	111.89	63.90	15.27	23.49	0.06	102.71
888	Lancashire	617.05	56.92	101.15	0.23	775.34	617.05	56.92	96.46	0.23	770.65
889	Blackburn with Darwen	67.77	10.06	22.09	0.04	99.96	67.77	10.06	21.35	0.04	99.21
890	Blackpool	28.42	7.32	16.74	0.03	52.50	28.42	7.32	14.08	0.03	49.85
891	Nottinghamshire	225.49	33.58	57.29	0.15	316.50	225.49	33.58	54.06	0.15	313.27
892	Nottingham	76.78	17.05	25.80	0.06	119.69	76.78	17.05	23.49	0.06	117.38
893	Shropshire	103.53	9.81	25.94	0.05	139.33	103.53	9.81	21.58	0.05	134.97
894	Telford and Wrekin	80.15	9.57	16.45	0.03	106.21	80.15	9.57	15.93	0.03	105.69
895	Cheshire East	95.63	16.48	35.50	0.07	147.67	95.63	16.48	34.09	0.07	146.27
896	Cheshire West and Chester	139.22	16.01	42.47	0.06	197.76	139.22	16.01	39.70	0.06	195.00
908	Cornwall	138.59	21.08	32.25	0.10	192.01	138.59	21.08	25.54	0.10	185.30
909	Cumbria	180.42	17.38	40.09	0.09	237.98	180.42	17.38	37.10	0.09	234.99
916	Gloucestershire	171.34	24.46	52.39	0.11	248.30	171.34	24.46	50.54	0.11	246.46
919	Hertfordshire	423.11	60.72	97.86	0.23	581.92	423.11	60.72	91.55	0.23	575.61
921	Isle of Wight	50.23	5.13	12.38	0.02	67.76	50.23	5.13	11.68	0.02	67.06
925	Lincolnshire	167.47	30.52	63.28	0.13	261.40	167.47	30.52	52.86	0.13	250.98
926	Norfolk	257.98	33.32	67.64	0.15	359.10	257.98	33.32	61.09	0.15	352.55
928	Northamptonshire	148.20	33.60	67.44	0.15	249.38	148.20	33.60	52.65	0.15	234.59
929	Northumberland	122.76	11.57	30.51	0.06	164.89	122.76	11.57	27.84	0.06	162.23
931	Oxfordshire	161.01	32.93	50.83	0.12	244.89	161.01	32.93	44.31	0.12	238.37
933	Somerset	157.53	19.66	41.74	0.09	219.03	157.53	19.66	39.95	0.09	217.24
935	Suffolk	208.65	36.70	47.43	0.13	292.91	208.65	36.70	41.15	0.13	286.63
936	Surrey	362.46	49.34	125.32	0.20	537.32	362.46	49.34	118.19	0.20	530.19
937	Warwickshire	166.00	24.91	54.79	0.10	245.80	166.00	24.91	50.63	0.10	241.64
938	West Sussex	298.21	33.18	71.67	0.15	403.21	298.21	33.18	67.72	0.15	399.26

DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT - OUTTURN 2015-16					
BUDGET DESCRIPTION	2015-16 BUDGET	2015-16 SPEND	2015-16 OTHER RESERVE MOVEMENTS	2015-16 TOTAL SPEND	2015-16 OUTTURN
	£	£	£	£	£
GRANTS					
Dedicated Schools Grant	(201,461,000)	(201,461,000)		(201,461,000)	0
Sixth Form Funding	(4,666,244)	(4,666,246)		(4,666,246)	(2)
TOTAL INCOME	(206,127,244)	(206,127,246)	0	(206,127,246)	(2)
DEVOLVED RESOURCES					
Schools ISB (Including 6th Form)					
Nursery Schools	2,534,260	2,534,260		2,534,260	0
Primary Schools	119,548,437	119,548,437		119,548,437	0
Secondary Schools	20,976,127	20,976,127		20,976,127	0
Special Schools	11,902,333	11,902,333		11,902,333	0
PRUs	2,723,756	2,723,756		2,723,756	0
TOTAL DEVOLVED RESOURCES	157,684,913	157,684,913	0	157,684,913	0
CENTRAL EXPENDITURE					
<u>Centrally Retained</u>					
Growth Fund - Rising Rolls	1,129,952	844,807		844,807	(285,145)
Growth Fund - Additional Classes	3,757,512	3,600,300		3,600,300	(157,212)
Gordon Brown	60,000	67,475		67,475	7,475
Wembley Learning Zone	81,000	81,947		81,947	947
Blanket Licences	185,932	185,932		185,932	0
Duke of Edinburgh				0	0
Centrally Retained	5,214,396	4,780,461	0	4,780,461	(433,935)
<u>De-Delegations</u>					
Maternity Grant	220,067	41,878		41,878	(178,189)
Trade Union Facilities Funding	86,473	240,144		240,144	153,671
Licences	5,792	5,980		5,980	188
Schools Causing Concern	250,000	212,675		212,675	(37,325)
FSM Eligibility	27,703	27,703		27,703	0
De-Delegations	590,035	528,381	0	528,381	(61,654)
Early Years	12,070,433	12,697,077	(631,765)	12,065,313	(5,120)
Early Years Pupil Premium	384,000	384,000		384,000	0
Inclusion & Alternative Education Services	2,600,491	2,405,876		2,405,876	(194,615)
Pupil & Parent Services	2,471,822	2,080,920		2,080,920	(390,902)
School Effectiveness	635,107	635,107		635,107	0
SEN	20,417,716	20,486,800		20,486,800	69,084
SEN Transport	936,597	1,019,373		1,019,373	82,776
Youth Services	114,000	114,000		114,000	0
Induction for NQTs	58,000	58,000		58,000	0
Support Services	2,005,334	2,485,910		2,485,910	480,576
CERA	944,400	728,569		728,569	(215,831)
<i>Dedicated Schools Grant (Central Expenditure Budget holding)</i>				0	0
Other Central Expenditure	42,637,900	43,095,633	(631,765)	42,463,868	(174,032)
TOTAL CENTRAL EXPENDITURE	48,442,331	48,404,474	(631,765)	47,772,709	(669,622)
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	206,127,244	206,089,387	(631,765)	205,457,622	(669,622)
BALANCE	0	(37,859)	(631,765)	(669,624)	(669,624)

Schools Balances are reported as spent, because any under or overspend is entered as a separate reserve movement.

DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT - RESERVES 2015-16

DSG RESERVE B/F	349,429
DSG UNDERSPEND	669,624
DSG RESERVE C/F	1,019,053

DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT - BUDGET 2016-17

BUDGET DESCRIPTION	2016-17 BUDGET £	2015-16 BUDGET £	Changes £
GRANTS			
Dedicated Schools Grant	(195,042,789)	(201,461,000)	(6,418,211)
Sixth Form Funding	(3,765,161)	(4,666,244)	(901,083)
TOTAL INCOME	(198,807,950)	(206,127,244)	(7,319,294)
DEVOLVED RESOURCES			
Schools ISB (Including 6th Form)			
Nursery Schools	2,392,008	2,534,260	(142,252)
Primary Schools	113,403,611	119,548,437	(6,144,826)
Secondary Schools	15,591,373	20,976,127	(5,384,754)
Special Schools	13,547,041	11,902,333	1,644,708
PRUs	2,723,755	2,723,756	(1)
TOTAL DEVOLVED RESOURCES	147,657,788	157,684,913	(10,027,125)
CENTRAL EXPENDITURE			
<u>Centrally Retained</u>			
Growth Fund - Rising Rolls	1,129,952	1,129,952	0
Growth Fund - Additional Classes	3,500,000	3,757,512	(257,512)
Gordon Brown	60,000	60,000	0
Wembley Learning Zone	88,000	81,000	7,000
Blanket Licences	191,252	185,932	5,320
Duke of Edinburgh	19,050		19,050
<u>De-Delegations</u>			
Maternity Grant	215,204	220,067	(4,863)
Trade Union Facilities Funding	81,075	86,473	(5,398)
Licences	6,006	5,792	214
Schools Causing Concern	250,000	250,000	0
FSM Eligibility	27,750	27,703	47
Early Years	13,493,433	12,070,433	1,423,000
Early Years Pupil Premium	384,000	384,000	0
Inclusion & Alternative Education Services	2,600,491	2,600,491	0
Pupil & Parent Services	2,471,822	2,471,822	0
School Effectiveness	1,212,107	635,107	577,000
SEN	20,417,716	20,417,716	0
SEN Transport	936,597	936,597	0
Youth Services	114,000	114,000	0
Induction for NQTs	58,000	58,000	0
Support Services	2,005,334	2,005,334	0
CERA	944,400	944,400	0
<i>Dedicated Schools Grant (Central Expenditure Budget holding)</i>	<i>943,973</i>		<i>943,973</i>
TOTAL CENTRAL EXPENDITURE	51,150,162	48,442,331	2,707,831
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	198,807,950	206,127,244	(7,319,294)

DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT - BUDGET 2016-17

BUDGET DESCRIPTION	2016-17 BUDGET	2015-16 BUDGET	Changes
	£	£	£
BALANCE	(0)	0	0